Autologous Conditioned Serum Treatment in the Knee Osteoarthritis

Eurasian Clinical and Analytical Medicine Original Research

Autologous Conditioned Serum Treatment

Yunus Öç¹, Bekir Eray Kilino², Yigit Atalay¹, Hasan Basri Sezer¹, Osman Tugrul Eren¹ ¹Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Training and Research Hospital ²Golhisar State Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract

Aim: Knee Osteoarthritis (OA) is a major cause of pain and disability in older adults. Of the cytokines identified in osteoarthritic joints, interleukin-1 (IL-1) appears to be of particular importance. The present study is a randomized, prospective, single-institution study to determine the effect of interleukin 1 ß receptor antagonist (IL-1ß Ra) in OA; we made this analysis by injecting symmetrically involved knees.

Material and Methods: The present study comprised 33 patients (19 female, 14 male) with 66 knees with a mean age 57.6 (range 41-70 years). Patients were included in the study if they had radiologically-verified bilateral grade 2-3 OA of the knee according to Kellgren-Lawrence classification. The patients were analyzed with Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for pain, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Score (KOOS), and Knee Society Score (KSS) before the first injection was administered (pre-treatment) and again one year after the last injection (post-treatment). Results: Treatment with ACS consistently showed significant decrease in VAS score (p<0.01). The patients reported a significant improvement in KOOS (subscores and total) one year after ACS treatment (p<0.01). The increase in KSS score from baseline to post-treatment one year also was significant (p<0.01).

Discussion: Intra-articular injection of ACS (Orthokine) in patients with painful knee OA has an excellent safety profile and results in a strong clinical response. The data show that ACS (Orthokine) represents an effective and well-tolerated alternative to currently predominant treatments of OA.

Keywords

Gonarthrosis; Osteoarthritis; Intra; Articular Injection; Cartilage; Knee Osteoarthritis

DOI:10.4328/ECAM.105

Received : 02.02.2017
Accepted : 12.02.2017
Published Online : 01.01.2017
Printed Online : 01.01.2017
Eu Clin Anal Med 2017;5(1): 6-9

Corresponding Author: Bekir Eray Kilinc, Golhisar State Hospital, İstanbul, Türkiye.

GSM: +90 530 606 18 · E-Mail: dreraykilinc@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Yunus Öç, Bekir Eray Kilinc, Yigit Atalay, Hasan Basri Sezer, Osman Tugrul Eren. Autologous Conditioned Serum Treat- ment in the Knee Osteoarthritis. Eu Clin Anal Med 2017:5[1]: 6-9.

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the single most important cause of locomotor disability in western societies and a major burden on their healthcare systems [1,2]. It is a progressive, chronic condition leading to pain and loss of function that dramatically reduces patients' quality of life and ability to work.

Knee Osteoarthritis (OA) is a major cause of pain and disability in older adults [3]. It is the most common type and encountered in 6% of adults, with a prevalence reaching 40% in advanced age (>70 years) [4]. Pain control is one of the main goals in the treatment of knee OA [5]. The management of knee OA begins with conservative treatments such as physical therapy, exercise, weight loss, and medications. Pharmacologic treatment options for OA used to be restricted to the symptomatic use of analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and the intra-articular injection of steroids or hyaluronan (HA) [6-11]. Intra-articular HA is commonly used as a safe, off-the-shelf treatment for OA of the knee, but its efficacy is controversial. There is a pressing need for novel, improved, mechanism-based agents for treating OA. Surgical intervention can be indicated for patients with advanced OA [12]

In OA, the destruction of hyaline cartilage constitutes the central pathological mechanism causing various mechanical and biological dysfunctions within the joint. Of the cytokines identified in osteoarthritic joints, interleukin-1 (IL-1) appears to be of particular importance. Accordingly, the IL-1 receptor antagonist protein (IRAP), a naturally occurring inhibitor of IL-1, has been reported to limit the intra-articular damage associated with IL-1 [11,12]. In animal models, many researchers have succeeded in positively modifying the osteoarthritic disease process by effectively antagonising IL-1 [11,12,14]. Autologous conditioned serum (ACS) is an example of a presumably disease-modifying treatment for OA based on antagonising the intra-articular effects of IL-1 [14]. The resulting conditioned serum contains elevated levels of various anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IRAP, IL-4, and IL-10 [11,15,16]. Several investigators have reported effectiveness of IL-1Ra when delivered by intra-articular injection in a canine model of OA and in a pilot human study [17]. After initial scepticism and the positive outcome of an animal model, recent prospective randomized controlled double-blind trials have provided the first evidence in human samples demonstrating that Orthokin® (Orthogen, Düsseldorf, Germany) is more effective than placebo and/or HA for the treatment of knee OA [11,18-20].

The present study is a randomized, prospective, single-institution study to determine the effect of interleukin 1 B receptor antagonist (IL-1B Ra) in OA; we made this analysis by injecting symmetrically involved knees.

Material and Methods

After approval by the institutional review board and with written informed patient consent, 33 patients (19 female, 14 male) not older than 70 years with 66 knees were included in the study. Data collection and treatment took place at a single institution.

This study was based on a one-year prospective, randomized, controlled clinical trial.

Patients

All patients presented to the orthopedic outpatient clinic with a bilateral knee pain score equal to or more than 4 points of 0-10 Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) on the day of the examination. To evaluate pain severity, analgesic and anti-inflammatory medications were discontinued before the start of treatment. The wash-out period was 3 weeks starting from the day of inclusion until the first injection. Patients were included in the study if they had radiologically-verified bilateral grade 2-3 OA of the knee according to Kellgren-Lawrence classification [21]. All patients

in this study were dissatisfied with previous attempts at conservative treatment, including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

No patient dropped out or underwent surgery while enrolled in the study. Secondary arthritis, grade IV OA, systemic or inflammatory joint diseases, a history of a crystalline arthropathy, clinically relevant hematologic or abnormal clinical chemistry values, bone cancer, and metastatis or tumor-like lesions in immediate proximity to the treated joint, joint instability, intra-articular corticosteroid injection within the previous 6 months, history of diabetes mellitus, recent history of trauma to the knee, and BMI greater than 30 were exclusion criteria. Patients were also excluded if they had contraindication to injection, such as infection, anticoagulation therapy, allergy, or hypersensitivity to any of the study medications. Patients who use systemic corticosteroids were also excluded. Patients who had VAS difference more than 2 points between their knees were excluded from the study.

Outcome Measurements

All patients were analyzed with Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for pain, which ranges from 0 (no pain) to 10 (most severe pain), Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Score (KOOS), and Knee Society Score (KSS) before the first injection was administered (pre-treatment) and again one year after the last injection (post-treatment) [22].

ACS preparation

To produce ACS, 50 mL of whole blood were taken from each patient using a special syringe with increased internal surface area (Orthogen, Düsseldorf, Germany); glass beads in the syringes increase the non-pyrogenic surface area and induce the dose-dependent production of IRAP (among others) by white blood cells in whole blood incubated at 37°C. After incubation, the blood-filled syringes were centrifuged, and the serum supernatant was filtered (0.22 mm; Millipore, Carrigtwohill, Co. Cork, Ireland) and aliquoted into 6-8.2 mL portions. The aliquots were stored at -20°C until use. A randomization procedure was followed to assign each compound to the right or the left knee.

Administration of injection

One orthopedic surgeon in one center applied all of the injections. Patients were placed in the sitting position with 90 degrees of knee flexion. A lateral approach to the knee was selected. The skin of the injection site was cleaned with povidone-iodine solution. No anesthetic was administered before injection. ACS was injected in a sterile condition into the affected joint in a series of six intra-articular injections given twice a week for three weeks. The patient was sent home after injection. Limited movement was allowed for 24 hours and resting was recommended in case of pain. The patient was recommended not to receive non-steroidal inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and not to locally apply ice for a week after injection in order not to reduce efficacy of ACS. In addition, an exercise program was given to the patients and performing normal daily activities was recommended when tolerable. Another surgeon who was not aware of the study design performed the clinical evaluation. Patients underwent clinical evaluation before the injection and at the first-year control. The possible complications and side effects were also evaluated in each visit.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using NCSS (Number Cruncher Statistical System) 2007 (Kaysville, Utah, USA). Descriptive statistics were expressed as number and percentage for categorical variables and as mean, standard deviation, median, minimum, and maximum for numerical variables. Paired Samples t Test was used to test whether variables were distributed normally. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test was used to test whether variables were distributed non-normally. p<0.01 were considered statistically significant.

Results

The present study comprised 33 patients (19 female, 14 male) with 66 knees with a mean age 57.6 (range 41-70 years); the general characteristics of the patents are shown in Table 1. These patients were highly symptomatic. At the first admission, the mean VAS score was 7.3 ± 0.9 on the right side and 7.7 ± 1.2 on the left side. The change in VAS score

Table 1. Age and Gender

		Min-Max	Mean±SD		
Age (year)		41-70	57.66±8.21		
		n	%		
0	Female	19	57.6		
Gender	Male	14	42.4		

Table 2. Before and after 1 year treatment for left knee

		Min-Max (Med)	Mean±SD	р
Koos stiffness	Before	18-78 (48.5)	47.62±16.35	a0.001**
KOOS SUITTIESS	1 year	39-100 (74)	73.41±12.68	au.uu1
Vi-	Before	17-75 (49)	49.65±16.05	a0.001**
Koos pain	1 year	47-98 (78)	75.79±12.6	90.001
V for the delication	Before	18-87 (45,5)	46.88±17.11	°0.001**
Koos function daily	1 year	47-96 (74)	73.26±13.17	
Kasa function activity	Before	15-75 (40)	43.41±18.43	^b 0.001**
Koos function activity	1 year	30-96 (74.5)	72.03±16.3	
Kasa lifa quality	Before	13-75 (37)	39.3±14.29	b0.001**
Koos life quality	1 year	47-96 (72)	71.62±12.46	50.001
Koos total	Before	21-65 (47.5)	46.32±11.4	^a 0.001**
KOOS LOLAI	1 year	54-92 (75)	74.06±7.53	
VAS	Before	5-10 (8)	7.77±1.2	^b 0.001**
CAV	1 year	0-7 (3)	3.08±1.43	
KCC	Before	14-75 (45)	46.44±13.67	^a 0.001**
KSS	1 year	47-92 (74)	72.45±12.28	

^aPaired Samples t Test ^bWilcoxon Signed Ranks Test **p<0.01

 $\textbf{Table 3.} \ \ \textbf{Before and after 1} \ \ \textbf{year treatment for right knee}$

		Min-Max (Med)	Mean±SD	р
Koos stiffness	Before	18-78 (40)	44.67±18.09	a0.001**
	1 year	39-100 (71)	72.85±13.35	-0.001
Vi-	Before	17-75 (44)	45.3±14.9	a0.001**
Koos pain	1 year	56-94 (75)	74.21±10.36	-0.001
Koos function daily	Before	18-87 (42)	44.3±16.8	a0.001**
Koos function daily	1 year	53-95 (74)	72.82±10.2	90.001
Koos function activity	Before	10-65 (30)	31.82±14.94	□ 0.001**
KOOS TUTICUOTI ACTIVITY	1 year	30-85 (70)	66.15±13.39	-0.001
Koos life quality	Before	12-75 (31)	34.21±13.96	- b0.001**
Roos life quality	1 year	56-92 (75)	72.85±10.17	0.001
Koos	Before	21-65 (42)	42.39±13.38	a0.001**
total	1 year	54-92 (71)	72.36±8.81	0.001
VAS	Before	5-9 (7)	7.36±0.93	- b0.001**
VAO	1 year	1-6 (3)	3.27±1.23	-0.001
KSS	Before	14-61 (43)	42.79±10.26	a0.001**
NOO	1 year	49-84 (74)	70.61±9.32	0.001

 $^{^{\}mathrm{a}}$ Paired Samples t Test $^{\mathrm{b}}$ Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test ** p<0.01

before and after treatment was statistically significant. Treatment with ACS consistently showed a significant decrease in VAS score (p<0.01) (Table 2, 3).

No intra-articular injection-related major complications such as infection, deep venous thrombosis, or muscular atrophy were detected in any of the patients over the course of treatments.

ACS (Orthokine) resulted in significantly greater improvement over a one-year period bilaterally. When asked retrospectively to rate their health, the patients reported a significant improvement in KOOS (subscores and total) one year after ACS treatment (p<0.01). It is interesting that retrospectively, they rated their initial health (all outcomes of KOSS) as being lower than the ratings they gave before the actual ACS treatment (Table 2, 3).

By the end of the study, there was a significant improvement in the KSS score over time. Increase in KSS score from baseline to post-treatment 1 year was significant (p<0.01) (Table 2, 3).

Discussion

OA is accompanied by a number of mechanical and biologic dysfunctions within the joint, the central pathologic feature being the destruction of hyaline cartilage. Of the catabolic cytokines identified in osteoarthritic joints, IL-1, the most potent known mediator of cartilage loss [23-32], appears pivotal. The naturally occurring inhibitor of IL-1, the IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) could potentially limit the intra-articular actions of IL-1 and thereby control the disease process [33-35].

NSAIDs give only modest control over the signs and symptoms of OA. Scholes et al. have reported that only 15% of patients with OA of the knee for whom a NSAID was prescribed were still taking the same drug 12 months later [36]. Certain cyclooxygenase-2 [COX-2] inhibitors have recently been withdrawn as a result of cardiovascular complications [10]. The future of this class of drugs is now unclear. Their claimed qualification as OA medication may possibly be due to what has been until now a lack of effective treatment alternatives.

Interleukin (IL)-1b is a pivotal mediator of many inflammatory and regenerative diseases, including osteoarthritis (0A), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and spinal disorders. Strategies for inhibiting the biological activities of IL-1b include use of the recombinant IL-1 receptor agonist, soluble forms of IL-1 receptors, and anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-4, IL-10, and IL-13, which inhibit the synthesis of IL-1, increase the synthesis of IL-1Ra, or both. A biologic therapeutic preparation known as ACS or Orthokine (Orthokine, Dusseldorf, Germany) has been developed and used clinically in orthopedic patients suffering from OA, RA, and spinal disorders. ACS is prepared from peripheral whole blood. Briefly, blood is drawn into a syringe containing treated glass beads with CrSO4 to initiate monocyte activation [37].

ACS was developed in the mid-1990s in an attempt to generate an injectable material enriched in endogenous IL-1Ra as a novel therapeutic for OA. Meijer et al. noted that exposure of blood to glass beads elicits a vigorous, rapid increase in the synthesis of several anti-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1Ra [38]. This observation is the basis for producing ACS, which is injected into the affected joint in a series of six intra-articular injections given twice a week for 3 weeks. This therapy is currently available for humans in several countries and its use is even more widespread for equine OA, where ACS considerably improves clinical lameness in horses and may protect cartilage from degradation [10].

Our data show that ACS (Orthokine) is safe and has a therapeutic effect on the major clinical parameters of painful knee OA. ACS resulted in significantly greater improvement over one year. Furthermore, patients treated with ACS consistently showed significantly greater improvements compared to the pre-treatment parameters. Remarkably,

the therapeutic effect persists for at least one year. The ACS production process has been shown to reproducibly elevate IL-1Ra and other factors, although the mechanisms by which the effects are mediated are not fully understood [36,37,39]. The multitude of synergistic, active therapeutic molecules may explain the observed clinical effect, but its long-term persistence is more difficult to explain. One possibility may be that the therapeutic molecules help to re-establish a healthy joint homeostasis [20].

In summary, intra-articular ACS (Orthokine) reduces pain and increases function and mobility for up to 1 year, based on our clinical trial results. It can be considered as clinically very safe because of its autologous origin. No clinically serious side effects were observed in the ACS group during the observation period.

We conclude that ACS is effective for treatment of patients with low- to medium-grade, painful knee OA. We treated only patients with grade 2-3 OA of the knee according to Kellgren-Lawrence classification, so the results can not necessarily be generalized to all OA patients. Although this study supports the use of ACS in mid-stage painful OA of the knee, we are aware of its limitations.

Conclusion

Intra-articular injection of ACS (Orthokine) in patients with painful knee OA has an excellent safety profile and results in a strong clinical response. The data show that ACS (Orthokine) represents an effective and well-tolerated alternative to currently predominant treatments of $\Omega\Delta$

Scientific Responsibility Statement

The authors declare that they are responsible for the article's scientific content including study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, writing, some of the main line, or all of the preparation and scientific review of the contents and approval of the final version of the article.

Animal and human rights statement

All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. No animal or human studies were carried out by the authors for this article.

$\textbf{Funding} : \mathsf{None}$

Conflict of interest

None of the authors received any type of financial support that could be considered potential conflict of interest regarding the manuscript or its submission.

References

- 1. Gupta S, Hawker GA, Laporte A, Croxford R, Coyte PC. The economic burden of disabling hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA) from the perspective of individuals living with this condition. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2005;44(12):1531-7.
- Peat G, McCarney R, Croft P. Knee pain and osteoarthritis in older adults: a review of community burden and current use of primary health care. Ann Rheum Dis 2001;60(2):91-7.
 Hadler NM. Osteoarthritis as a public health problem. ClinRheum Dis 1985;11:175-85.
- 4. Michael JW, Schlüter-Brust KU, Eysel P. The epidemiology, etiology, diagnosis, and treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2010;107(9):152-62.
- 5. Raynauld JP, Buckland-Wright C, Ward R, Choquette D, Haraoui B, Martel-Pelletier J et al. Safety and efficacy of long-term intraarticular steroid injections in osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum 2003;48:370-7.
- 6. Juni P, Nartey L, Reichenbach S, Sterchi R, Dieppe PA, Egger M. Risk of cardiovascular events and rofecoxib: cumulative meta-analysis. Lancet 2004;364:2021-9.
- 7. Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, Abramson S, Altman RD, Arden N, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis, part I: critical appraisal of existing treatment guidelines and systematic review of current research evidence. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2007;15(9):981-1000.
- 8. Jordan KM, Arden NK, Doherty M, Bannwarth B, Bijlsma JW, Dieppe P, et al. EULAR recommendations 2003: an evidence based approach to the management of knee osteoarthritis: report of a Task Force of the Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutic Trials (ESCISIT). Ann Rheum Dis 2003:62(12):1145-55.
- 9. Schnitzer TJ. Update of ACR guidelines for osteoarthritis: role of the coxibs. J Pain Symptom Manage 2002;23:24-30
- 10. Hernandez-Diaz S, Varas-Lorenzo C, Garcia Rodriguez LA. Non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs and the risk of acute myocardial infarction. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2006;98[3]:266-74.
- 11. Baltzer A, Moser C, Jansen S, Krauspe R. Autologous conditioned serum (Orthokine) is an

- effective treatment for knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2009;17:152-60.
- 12. Frisbie DD, Ghivizzani SC, Robbins PD, Evans CH, McIlwraith CW. Treatment of experimental equine osteoarthritis by in vivo delivery of the equine interleukin-1 receptor antagonist qene. Gene Ther 2002;9:12-20.
- 13. Kraus V, Birmingham J, Stabler T, Feng S, Taylor DC, Moorman CT 3rd, et al. Effects of intraarticular IL1-Ra for acute anterior cruciate ligament knee injury: a randomized controlled pilot trial. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2012;20:271-8.
- 14. Fernandes J, Tardif G, Martel-Pelletier J, Lascau-Coman V, Dupuis M, Moldovan F, et al. In vivo transfer of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist gene in osteoarthritic rabbit knee joints: prevention of osteoarthritis progression. Am J Pathol 1999;154:1159-69.
- 15. Rutgers M, Saris DBF, Dhert WJA, Creemers LB. Cytokine profile of autologous conditioned serum for treatment of osteoarthritis, in vitro effects on cartilage metabolism and intra-articular levels after injection. Arthritis Res Ther 2010;12:114.
- 16. Wehling P, Reinecke J, Baltzer A, Granrath M, Schulitz KP, Schultz C, et al. Clinical responses to gene therapy in joints of two subjects with rheumatoid arthritis. Hum Gene Ther 2009:20:97-101.
- 17. Chevalier X, Giraudeau B, Conrozier T, Marliere J, Kiefer P, Goupille P. Safety study of intraarticular injection of interleukin 1 receptor antagonist in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis: a multicenter study. J Rheumatol 2005;32(7):1317-23.
- 18. Burmester GR, Grifka J. Revision of the recommendations of the Commission on the Pharmacotherapy of the German Society for Rheumatology. Z Rheumatol 2007;66:83-4.
- 19. Frisbie D, Kawcak C, Werpy N, Park RD, McIlwraith CW. Clinical, biochemical, and histologic effects of intra-articular administration of autologous conditioned serum in horses with experimentally induced osteoarthritis. Am J Vet Res 2007;68:290-6.
- 20. Yang K, Raijmakers NJ, van Arkel ER, Caron JJ, Rijk PC, Willems WJ, et al. Autologous interleukin-1 receptor antagonist improves function and symptoms in osteoarthritis when compared to placebo in a prospective randomized controlled trial. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2008;16:498-505.
- 21. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Radiological assessment of osteoarthrosis. Ann Rheum Dis 1957;16:494–501.
- 22. Freyd M. The graphic rating scale. J Educ Psychol 1923;14:83-102.
- 23. Goldring MB. Osteoarthritis and cartilage: the role of cytokines. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2000;2:459-65.
- 24. Goldring SR, Goldring MB. The role of cytokines in cartilage matrix degeneration in osteoarthritis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2004;427:27-36.
- 25. Fernandes JC, Martel-Pelletier J, Pelletier JP. The role of cytokines in osteoarthritis pathophysiology. Biorheology 2002;39:237-46.
- 26. Karsenty G. An aggrecanase and osteoarthritis. N Engl J Med 2005;353(5):522-3.
- 27. Myers SL, Brandt KD, Ehlich JW, Braunstein EM, Shelbourne KD, Heck DA, et al. Synovial inflammation in patients with early osteoarthritis of the knee. J Rheumatol 1990;17(12):1662-9. 28. Fukui N, Purple CR, Sandell LJ. Cell biology of osteoarthritis: the chondrocyte's response to injury. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2001;3(6):496-505.
- 29. Smith MD, Triantafillou S, Parker A, Youssef PP, Coleman M. Synovial membrane inflammation and cytokine production in patients with earlyosteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 1997;24(2):365-71.
- 30. Silvestri T, Pulsatelli L, Dolzani P, Frizziero L, Facchini A, Meliconi R. In vivo expression of inflammatory cytokine receptors in the joint compartments of patients with arthritis. Rheumatol Int: 2006;26(4):360-8.
- 31. Pettipher ER, Higgs GA, Henderson B. Interleukin 1 induces leukocyte infiltration and cartilage proteoglycan degradation in the synovial joint. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1986;83(22):8749-53.
- 32. Pelletier JP, Faure MP, DiBattista JA, Wilhelm S, Visco D, Martel-Pelletier J. Coordinate synthesis of stromelysin, interleukin-1, and oncogene proteins in experimental osteoarthritis. An immunohistochemical study. Am J Pathol 1993;142(1):95-105.
- 33. Arend WP, Malyak M, Guthridge CJ, Gabay C. Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist: role in biology. Annu Rev Immunol 1998;16:27-55.
- 34. Dinarello CA. Interleukin-1 and interleukin-1 antagonism. Blood 1991;77(8):1627-52.
- 35. Dinarello CA. The role of the interleukin-1-receptor antagonist in blocking inflammation mediated by interleukin-1. N Engl J Med 2000;343(10):732-4.
- 36. Scholes D, Stergachis A, Penna PM, Normand EH, Hansten PD. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug discontinuation in patients with osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 1995;22(4):708-12.
- 37. Arend WP, Leung DY. IgG induction of IL-1 receptor antagonist production by human monocytes. Immunol Rev.1994;139:71–78.
- 34. Tüzün EH, Eker L, Aytar A, Daşkapan A, Bayramoğlu M. Acceptability, reliability, validity and responsiveness of the Turkish version of WOMAC osteoarthritis index. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2005;13:28-33.
- 36. Meijer H, Reinecke J, Becker C, Tholen G, Wehling P. The production of anti-inflammatory cytokines in whole blood by physico-chemical induction.Inflamm Res 2003;52(10):404-7.
- 37. Wright-Carpenter T, Klein P, Schaferhoff P, Appell HJ, Mir LM, Wehling P. Treatment of muscle injuries by local administration of autologous conditioned serum: a pilot study on sportsmen with muscle strains. Int J Sports Med 2004;25(8):588-93.
- 38. Petrella RJ. Hyaluronic acid for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: long-term outcomes from a naturalistic primary care experience. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2005;84(4):278-83 39. Wright-Carpenter T, Opolon P, Appell HJ, Meijer H, Wehling P, Mir LM. Treatment of muscle injuries by local administration of autologous conditioned serum: animal experiments using a muscle contusion model. Int J Sports Med 2004;25(8):582-7.